

We understand that some merged Districts, or merging Districts, are claiming that extending deadlines puts them at a disadvantage. Merged Districts are not disadvantaged by extending deadlines. First of all, they are the ones receiving tax incentives (that all of us taxpayers are paying for). And any advantages they got from merging are there for them to enjoy, such as efficiencies and equity they anticipated. I don't see how merged Districts are harmed by time extensions or creating alternatives that work for other regions. The House and Senate bills 15 being considered are specific to the Alternative Structures portion of Act 46 NOT the Preferred Structure, so they in no way affect the already-merged Districts.